X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis of Artifact Obsidian from the Orr Site, Western Cascades, Marion County, Oregon

Craig E. Skinner Northwest Research Obsidian Studies Laboratory

Introduction

One hundred and thirty-two obsidian artifacts from the Orr Site, Marion County, Oregon, were submitted for energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence trace element provenience analysis. The samples were prepared and analyzed at the Northwest Research Obsidian Studies Laboratory under the accession number 99-55.

Analytical Methods

X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis and Characterization Studies

Introduction. Although a variety of physical, optical, petrographic, and chemical attributes are used to characterize volcanic glasses, the use of trace element abundances to "fingerprint" obsidian sources and artifacts has shown the greatest overall success. X-ray fluorescence analytical methods, with their ability to nondestructively and accurately measure trace element concentrations in obsidian, have been widely adopted for this purpose (Harbottle 1982; Rapp 1985; Williams-Thorpe 1995; Glascock et al. 1998; Herz and Garrison 1998; Lambert 1998).

Most geologic sources of obsidian are quite homogeneous in their trace element composition, yet demonstrate adequate intersource variability so that individual sources of glass can be distinguished. Because obsidian can be widely dispersed from its primary geologic source due to a variety of geologic and geomorphic processes, specimens of chemically identical glass are sometimes recovered from outcrops spread over large geographic areas (Hughes 1986; Hughes and Smith 1993). These secondary source boundaries are often not as well documented as primary sources but must be carefully considered in obsidian procurement studies (Shackley 1998). Hughes (1986, 1998) points out that these chemically identical obsidian outcrops must be considered as a single chemical group or chemical type and his terminology is followed here.

From small scale (household and site) to large scale (regional and interregional) levels of analysis, the spatial source patterning of characterized obsidian artifacts is influenced by many different environmental and cultural factors. Interpretation of these patterns can provide valuable information about the prehistoric behavioral and environmental procurement variables responsible for observed artifact distributions. At the site level of analysis, patterns of source use may suggest the presence of specific activity areas, of single tool manufacturing events, or, in special cases, may point to differential access of goods and the existence of non-egalitarian social structures. At the intersite or regional level of investigation, the geographic patterning of artifacts can provide information about seasonal procurement ranges, territorial and ethnic boundaries, the location of trails and travel routes, the curational value of particular sources or formal artifact types, cultural preferences regarding glass quality and colors, the presence of trade and exchange systems, the existence of intergroup interaction, and the exchange of

prestige items between elites of different groups (Hughes 1978, 1990; Ericson 1981; Hughes and Bettinger 1984). The effects of environmental influences such as the distance to source, the location of alternative or competing sources of lithic materials, the distribution of raw materials in secondary deposits, or the presence of potential barriers such as mountain ranges, must all be considered. Bias introduced during sampling by certain recovery methods, artifact size, and the use of small numbers of samples may also affect the reconstruction of the spatial patterning of analyzed artifacts.

Sample Preparation Methods. Obsidian samples selected for X-ray fluorescence analysis are typically restricted to clean artifacts (a wash with tap water and a brush will usually suffice) with a relatively flat surface at least 10 mm in diameter and at least 1.5 mm thick. Although it is possible to analyze slightly smaller samples (7-10 mm in diameter and 0.5-1.0 mm thick), these items will show some distortion in trace element values and may not be able to be reliably characterized. This is particularly true in areas with complex source use patterns. Source assignments of samples that do not meet the minimum reliable size criteria of 10 mm diameter and 1.5 mm thickness, and/or show distorted trace element values are indicated by an asterisk in the data tables that appear in the Appendix.

Analytical Methods. Analysis of the samples was completed using a Spectrace 5000 energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometer. The system is equipped with a Si(Li) detector with a resolution of 155 eV FHWM for 5.9 keV X-rays (at 1000 counts per second) in an area 30 mm². Signals from the spectrometer are amplified and filtered by a time variant pulse processor and sent to a 100 MHZ Wilkinson type analog-to-digital converter. The X-ray tube employed is a Bremsstrahlung type, with a rhodium target, and 5 mil Be window. The tube is driven by a 50 kV 1 mA high voltage power supply, providing a voltage range of 4 to 50 kV. The principles of X-ray fluorescence analytical methods are reviewed in detail by Norrish and Chappell (1967), Potts and Webb (1992), and Williams (1987). X-ray fluorescence analytical procedures used in the analysis of all obsidian samples were originally developed by M. Kathleen Davis (BioSystems Analysis and Northwest Research Obsidian Studies Laboratory).

For analysis of the elements zinc (Zn), lead (Pb), thorium (Th), rubidium (Rb), strontium (Sr), yttrium (Y), zirconium (Zr), and niobium (Nb), the X-ray tube is operated at 30 kV, 0.30 mA (pulsed), with a 0.127 mm Pd filter. Analytical lines used are Zn (K-alpha), Pb (L-alpha), Th (L-alpha), Rb (K-alpha), Sr (K-alpha), Y (K-alpha), Zr (K-alpha) and Nb (K-alpha). Samples are scanned for 200 seconds live-time in an air path.

Peak intensities for the above elements are calculated as ratios to the Compton scatter peak of rhodium, and converted to parts-per-million (ppm) by weight using linear regressions derived from the analysis of twenty rock standards from the U.S. Geological Survey, the Geologic Survey of Japan, and the National Bureau of Standards. The analyte to Compton scatter peak ratio is employed to correct for variation in sample size, surface irregularities, and variation in the sample matrix.

For analysis of the elements titanium (Ti), manganese (Mn), and iron $(Fe_2O_3^T)$, the X-ray tube is operated at 12 kV, 0.27 mA with a 0.127 mm aluminum filter. Samples are scanned for 200 seconds live-time in a vacuum path. Analytical lines used are Ti (K-alpha), Mn (K-alpha), and Fe (K-alpha).

Concentration values (parts per million for titanium and manganese, weight percent for iron) are calculated using linear regressions derived from the analysis of thirteen standards from the U.S. Geological Survey, the Geologic Survey of Japan and the National Bureau of Standards. However, these values are *not* corrected against the Compton scatter peak or other scatter regions, resulting in lower than normal trace element values for small samples that fall below the minimum size requirement.

Iron/titanium (Fe/Ti) and iron/manganese (Fe/Mn) peak ratios are supplied for use as corrected values. In order to ensure comparability among samples of different sizes, source assignments in all reports are based upon these ratios, and not on the absolute concentration values.

For analysis of the elements barium (Ba), lanthanum (La) and cerium (Ce), the X-ray tube is operated at 50 kV, 0.25 mA with a 0.63 mm copper filter in the X-ray path. Analytical lines used are Ba (K-alpha), La (K-alpha), and Ce (K-alpha). Samples are scanned in an air path for 100 to 600 seconds live-time, depending upon trace element concentration. Trace element intensities are calculated as ratios to the Bremsstrahlung region between 25.0 and 30.98 keV, and converted to parts-per-million by weight using a polynomial fit routine derived from the analysis of sixteen rock standards from the U.S. Geological Survey and the Geologic Survey of Japan. It should be noted that the Bremsstrahlung region corrects for sample mass only and does not account for matrix effects.

All samples are scanned as unmodified rock specimens. Reported errors represent counting and fitting error uncertainty only, and do not account for instrumental precision or effects related to the analysis of unmodified obsidian. When the latter effects are considered, relative analytical uncertainty is estimated to be between three and five percent.

In traditional X-ray fluorescence trace element studies, samples are powdered and pelletized before analysis (Norrish and Chappell 1967; Potts and Webb 1992). In theory, the irregular surfaces of most obsidian artifacts should induce measurement problems related to shifts in artifact-to-detector reflection geometry (Hughes 1986:35). Early experiments with intact obsidian flakes by Robert N. Jack, and later by Richard Hughes, however, indicate that analytical results from lenticular or biconvex obsidian surfaces are comparable to those from flat surfaces and pressed powder pellets, paving the way for the nondestructive analysis characterization of glass artifacts (Hughes 1986:35–37; Jack 1976). The minimum optimal sample size for analysis has been found to be approximately 10 mm in diameter and 1.5–2.0 mm thick. Later experimental studies conducted by Shackley and Hampel (1993) using samples with flat and slightly irregular surface geometries have corroborated Hughes' initial observations. In a similar experiment, Jackson and Hampel (1993) determined that for accurate results the minimum size of an artifact should be about 10 mm in diameter and 1.5 mm thick. Agreement between the U. S. Geological Survey standard RGM-1 (Glass Mountain obsidian) values and obsidian test samples was good at 1 mm thickness and improved markedly to a thickness of 3 mm. Details about the effects of sample size and surface geometry are discussed by Davis et al. (1998).

Correlation of Artifacts and Geologic Sources. The diagnostic trace element values used to characterize the samples are compared directly to those for known obsidian sources such as those reported in the literature and with unpublished trace element data collected through analysis of geologic source samples (Skinner 1999). Artifacts are correlated to a parent obsidian source or chemical source

group if diagnostic trace element values fall within about two standard deviations of the analytical uncertainty of the known upper and lower limits of chemical variability recorded for the source. Occasionally, visual attributes are used to corroborate the source assignments although sources are never assigned on the basis of only megascopic characteristics.

Diagnostic trace elements, as the term is used here, refer to trace element abundances that show low intrasource variation and uncertainty along with distinguishable intersource variability. In addition, this refers to elements measured by X-ray fluorescence analysis with high precision and low analytical uncertainty. In short, diagnostic elements are those that allow the clearest geochemical distinction between sources. Trace elements generally refer to those elements that occur in abundances of less than about 1000 ppm in a sample. For simplicity in this report, we use the term synonymously with major and minor elements such as iron, titanium, and manganese, which may be present in somewhat larger quantities.

Nondestructive trace element analysis of the samples was completed using a Spectrace 5000 energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometer. The system is equipped with a Si(Li) detector with a resolution of 155 eV FHWM for 5.9 keV X-rays (at 1000 counts per second) in an area 30 mm². Signals from the spectrometer are amplified and filtered by a time variant pulse processor and sent to a 100 MHZ Wilkinson type analog-to-digital converter. The X-ray tube employed is a Bremsstrahlung type, with a rhodium target, and 5 mil Be window. The tube is driven by a 50 kV 1 mA high voltage power supply, providing a voltage range of 4 to 50 kV. Specific analytical conditions used for the analysis of the elements reported in Table A-1 are available at the Northwest Research Obsidian Studies Laboratory World Wide Web site at *www.obsidianlab.com*.

The diagnostic trace element values used to characterize the samples are compared directly to those for known obsidian sources reported in the literature and with unpublished trace element data collected through analysis of geologic source samples (Skinner 1999). Artifacts are correlated to a parent obsidian or basalt source or chemical source group if diagnostic trace element values fall within about two standard deviations of the analytical uncertainty of the known upper and lower limits of chemical variability recorded for the source. Occasionally, visual attributes are used to corroborate the source assignments although sources are never assigned solely on the basis of megascopic characteristics.

Results

Eight geochemical obsidian sources, six of which were correlated with known or previously recognized sources, were identified among the 132 obsidian artifacts that were characterized by X-ray fluorescence analysis (Table 1). The locations of the site and the identified obsidian sources are shown in Figure 1 while descriptive information about the identified obsidian source is presented in Table 2. Analytical results are summarized in Figure 2 and are presented in Table A-1 in the Appendix.

Two of the geochemical groups, the Butte Creek and Clackamas River sources, have been previously identified among characterized artifact collections. The primary source locations for both these groups, however, remains unknown at the present time. In the current investigation, 30 of the 47 artifacts correlated with the Butte Creek source retained at least a trace of original surface cortex. The cortex on

all specimens was angular and mechanically unmodified by transport processes, suggesting procurement at or very near the primary source of the material. This evidence, when combined with the large proportion of material from the Butte Creek source found at the Orr Site, suggests that the parent source lies within a relatively short distance of the site.

Geologic Source	N =	Percentage
Butte Creek	48	36.4
Clackamas River	1	0.8
Devil Point	4	3.0
Inman Creek B	1	0.8
Newberry Volcano	1	0.8
Obsidian Cliffs	75	56.8
Unknown 1	1	0.8
Unknown 2	1	0.8
Total	132	100.2

Table 1. Summary of results of trace element analysis.



Figure 1. Locations of archaeological site and obsidian sources identified by trace element analysis.

Table 2. Description of obsidian sources ide	entified in the current investigation.	Summary includes results of unpublished fi	eld and geochemical source
research conducted by Northwest Research.	Continued on following page.		

Geologic Source	Location	Description	References
Butte Creek	Western Cascades?	The primary source location of the Butte Creek source is currently unknown, although it is likely that it lies somewhere in the northern portion of the Western or High Cascades in Oregon. The glass is often a uniform black to dark gray color and sometimes exhibits distinct black and dark gray banding. Surface luster varies from vitreous to earthy with a smooth to matte surface texture. Light transmittance qualities range from transparent to opaque. Prehistoric use of the obsidian appears to be restricted to a limited geographic area – prior to the current investigation, only two characterized artifacts correlated with the Butte Creek source have been identified (at 35-MA-141 and 35-CL-148).	Roulette et al. 1996
Clackamas River	Western or High Cascades, Oregon?	The primary source location of the Clackamas River source is currently unknown, although it is likely that it lies somewhere in the northern portion of the Western or High Cascades in Oregon. In early trace element investigations by BioSystems Analysis, this visually distinctive source was designated Unknown C. The glass is dark gray to black in color and exhibits a smooth to matte surface texture and vitreous to earthy surface luster. Prehistoric use of the Clackamas River source appears largely restricted to the Clackamas River drainage of the Western Cascades, the eastern margin of the Willamette Valley, and to archaeological sites within the Mt. Hood National Forest. Artifacts of a gray glassy rhyolite (probably the Clackamas River source) at Clackamas River sites were first mentioned by Woodward (1974:194–195); later geochemical studies reported by Roulette et al. (1996) corroborated the prehistoric utilization of this source.	Roulette et al. 1996 Skinner and Davis 1997a, 1997b Skinner and Thatcher 1998 Woodward 1974
Devil Point	High Cascades, Marion County, Oregon	Prehistoric use of this minor source of obsidian, located about 8 km (5 mi) northwest of Mt. Jefferson in the Western Cascades, is typically limited to Cascades and central Oregon archaeological sites located relatively short distances from the source.	Skinner 1997 Skinner and Winkler 1991, 1994
Newberry Volcano	Newberry Caldera, Newberry National Volcanic Monument, Deschutes County, Oregon	A composite chemical source consisting of several geochemically indistinguishable Holocene obsidian flows (Central Pumice Cone, East Lake, Game Hut, and Interlake flows) located within Newberry Caldera (Newberry National Volcanic Monument). All of the flows making up this geochemical group erupted after the Mazama ashfall of about 6,850 ¹⁴ C years ago, providing a unique temporal window for the prehistoric use of the glass. The rapid and widespread prehistoric use of Newberry Volcano obsidian in the period following the eruption of the flows is well documented in central and northcentral Oregon. Glass from this source is occasionally encountered at sites in the Western Cascades, southwest Oregon, northwest Oregon, and Washington, and has been reported from as far north as British Columbia, Canada.	Carlson 1994 Connolly 1995 Connolly et al. 1995 Flenniken and Ozbun 1988 Friedman 1977 Friedman and Obradovich 1981 Hughes 1992 Linneman 1990 MacLeod et al. 1995 Musil and O'Neill 1997 Skinner 1983, 1995a, 1995b Skinner and Winkler 1991, 1994 Williams 1935

Geologic Source	Location	Description	References
Obsidian Cliffs	High Cascades, Three Sisters Wilderness Area, Lane County, Oregon	This large 95,000 yr-old glaciated obsidian-rhyolite flow is located in the central High Cascades near North Sister Volcano. Obsidian nodules from the source can be found in deposits of glacial till to the west of the source and are occasionally found in the gravels of the McKenzie and Willamette rivers in northwestern Oregon. Obsidian artifact manufacturing debris covers a large portion of the Obsidian Cliffs plateau and it is likely that this important source was used throughout much of the post-glacial period. Characterized artifacts from Obsidian Cliffs have been found at many archaeological sites in western Oregon, central and northcentral Oregon, and Washington. Artifacts from the source have been reported from as far north as British Columbia, Canada. See Figure 3.	Anttonen 1972 Carlson 1994 Hill 1992 Hughes 1992, 1993 Hughes, S. 1983 Musil and O'Neill 1997 Skinner 1983, 1986, 1995a, 1995b Skinner and Winkler 1991, 1994 Taylor 1968 Taylor et al. 1987 White 1974, 1975 Williams 1944

Table 2 (continued). Description of obsidian sources identified in the current investigation.



Figure 2. Scatterplot of strontium (Sr) plotted versus zirconium (Zr) for all analyzed artifacts.



Northwest Research Obsidian Studies Laboratory Report 99-55

Figure 3. Obsidian Cliffs Plateau as seen from the summit of the Middle Sister. Taken facing west.

References Cited: Analytical Methods

Davis, M. Kathleen, Thomas L. Jackson, M. Steven Shackley, Timothy Teague, and Joachim H. Hampel

1998 Factors Affecting the X-Ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) Analysis of Archaeological Obsidian. In Archaeological Obsidian Studies: Method and Theory, edited by M. Steven Shackley, pp. 159–180. Advances in Archaeological and Museum Science Series. Plenum Publishing Co., New York, New York.

Ericson, Jonathon E.

1981 Exchange and Production Systems in Californian Prehistory: The Results of Hydration Dating and Chemical Characterization of Obsidian Sources. BAR International Series 110, Oxford, England.

Glascock, Michael D., Geoffrey E. Brasswell, and Robert H. Cobean

1998 A Systematic Approach to Obsidian Source Characterization. In Archaeological Obsidian Studies: Method and Theory, edited by M. Steven Shackley, pp. 15–65. Advances in Archaeological and Museum Science Series. Plenum Publishing Co., New York, New York.

Harbottle, Garman

1982 Chemical Characterization in Archaeology. In *Contexts for Prehistoric Exchange*, edited by Jonathon E. Ericson and Timothy K. Earle, pp. 13–51. Academic Press, New York, New York.

Herz, Norman and Ervan G. Garrison

1998 Geological Methods for Archaeology. Oxford University Press, New York, New York.

Hughes, Richard E.

- 1978 Aspects of Prehistoric Wiyot Exchange and Social Ranking. Journal of California Anthropology 5:53–66.
- 1986 Diachronic Variability in Obsidian Procurement Patterns in Northeastern California and Southcentral Oregon. University of California Publications in Anthropology 17, Berkeley, California.
- 1990 The Gold Hill Site: Evidence for a Prehistoric Socioceremonial System in Southwestern Oregon. In *Living With the Land: The Indians of Southwest Oregon*, edited by Nan Hannon and Richard K. Olmo, pp. 48–55. Southern Oregon Historical Society, Medford, Oregon.
- 1998 On Reliability, Validity, and Scale on Obsidian Sourcing Research. In Unit Issues in Archaeology: Measuring Time, Space, and Material, edited by Ann F. Ramenofsky and Anastasia Steffen, pp. 103–114. University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Hughes, Richard E. and R. L. Bettinger

1984 Obsidian and Prehistoric Cultural Systems in California. In *Exploring the Limits: Frontiers and Boundaries in Prehistory*, edited by Suzanne P. DeAtley and Frank J. Findlow, pp. 153–172. BAR International Series 223, Oxford, England.

Hughes, Richard E. and Robert L. Smith

1993 Archaeology, Geology, and Geochemistry in Obsidian Provenance Studies, in *Effects of Scale on Archaeological and Geoscientific Perspectives*, edited by J. K. Stein and A. R. Linse, pp. 79–91. Geological Society of America Special Paper 283, Boulder, Colorado.

Jack, Robert N.

1976 Prehistoric Obsidian in California I: Geochemical Aspects. In *Advances in Obsidian Glass Studies: Archaeological and Geochemical Perspectives*, edited by R. E. Taylor, pp. 183–217. Noyes Press, Park Ridge, New Jersey.

Jackson, Thomas L. and Joachim Hampel

1993 Size Effects in the Energy-Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) Analysis of Archaeological Obsidian (Abstract). International Association for Obsidian Studies Bulletin 9:8.

Lambert, Joseph B.

1998 *Traces of the Past: Unraveling the Secrets of Archaeology Through Chemistry.* Perseus Books, Reading, Massachusetts.

Norrish, K. and B. W. Chappell

1967 X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrography. In *Physical Methods in Determinative Mineralogy*, edited by J. Zussman, pp. 161–214. Academic Press, New York, New York.

Potts, Philip J. and Peter C. Webb

1992 X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry. Journal of Geochemical Exploration 44:251–296.

Rapp, George, Jr.

1985 The Provenience of Artifactual Raw Materials. In *Archaeological Geology*, edited by George Rapp, Jr. and John A. Gifford, pp. 353–375. Yale University Press, New Haven, Connecticut.

Shackley, M. Steven

1998 Intrasource Chemical Variability and Secondary Depositional Processes: Lessons from the American Southwest. In Archaeological Obsidian Studies: Method and Theory, edited by M. Steven Shackley, pp. 83–102. Advances in Archaeological and Museum Science Series. Plenum Publishing Co., New York, New York.

Shackley, M. Steven and Joachim Hampel

1993 Surface Effects in the Energy-Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) Analysis of Archaeological Obsidian (Abstract). *International Association for Obsidian Studies Bulletin* 9:10.

Skinner, Craig E.

1999 Northwest Research Obsidian Studies Laboratory World Wide Web Site (www.obsidianlab.com).

Skinner, Craig E. and Kimberly J. Tremaine

1993 *Obsidian: An Interdisciplinary Bibliography*. International Association for Obsidian Studies Occasional Paper No. 1, San Jose, California.

Stevenson, Christopher M., Elizabeth Knaus, James J. Mazer, and John K. Bates

1993 Homogeneity of Water Content in Obsidian from the Coso Volcanic Field: Implications for Obsidian Hydration Dating. *Geoarchaeology* 8:371–384.

Williams, K. L.

1987 An Introduction to X-Ray Spectrometry: X-Ray Fluorescence and Electron Microprobe Analysis. Allen & Unwin, Boston, Massachusetts.

Williams-Thorpe, O.

1995 Obsidian in the Mediterranean and the Near East: A Provenancing Success Story. Archaeometry 37:217–248.

References Cited: Results of Analysis

Anttonen, Gary J.

1972 *Trace Elements in High Cascade Volcanic Rocks, Three Sisters Area, Oregon.* Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Geology, Stanford University, Palo Alto, California.

Carlson, Roy L.

1994 Trade and Exchange in Prehistoric British Columbia. In *Prehistoric Exchange Systems in North America*, edited by Timothy G. Baugh and Jonathon E. Ericson, pp. 307–361. Plenum Press, New York, New York.

Connolly, Thomas J.

1995 *Human and Environmental Holocene Chronology in Newberry Crater, Central Oregon.* Report prepared for the Oregon Department of Transportation, Salem, Oregon, by the State Museum of Anthropology, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon.

Connolly, Thomas J., Richard E. Hughes, and Craig E. Skinner

1995 Geochemical Characterization of the Newberry Caldera Obsidian Flows. In *Human and Environmental Holocene Chronology in Newberry Crater, Central Oregon*, by Thomas J. Connolly. Report prepared for the Oregon Department of Transportation, Salem, Oregon, by the State Museum of Anthropology, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon.

Flenniken, J. Jeffrey, and Terry L. Ozbun

1988 Archaeological Investigations in Newberry Crater, Deschutes National Forest, Central Oregon. Report prepared for the Deschutes National Forest, Bend, Oregon, by Lithic Analysts, Pullman, Washington. Lithic Analysts Research Report No. 4.

Friedman, Irving

1977 Hydration Dating of Volcanism at Newberry Volcano, Oregon. *Journal of Research of the U. S. Geological Survey* 5:337–342.

Friedman, Irving and John Obradovich

1981 Obsidian Hydration Dating of Volcanic Events. *Quaternary Research* 16:37–47.

Hill, Brittain

1992 Petrogenesis of Compositionally Distinct Silicic Volcanoes in the Three Sisters Region of the Oregon Cascade Range: The Effects of Crustal Extension on the Development of Continental Arc Silicic Magmatism. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Geology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon.

Hughes, Richard E.

- 1992 Appendix E: Report of X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis. In *An Archaeological Assessment of the Beech Creek Site* (35LE415), *Gifford Pinchot National Forest*. Gifford Pinchot National Forest, Vancouver, Washington.
- 1993 Trace Element Geochemistry of Volcanic Glass from the Obsidian Cliffs Flow, Three Sisters Wilderness, Oregon. *Northwest Science* 67(3):199–207.

Hughes, Scott S.

1983 *Petrochemical Evolution of High Cascade Volcanic Rocks in the Three Sisters Region, Oregon.* Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Geology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon.

Linneman, Scott R.

1990 *The Petrologic Evolution of the Holocene Magmatic System of Newberry Volcano, Central Oregon.* Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming.

MacLeod, Norman S., David R. Sherrod, Lawrence A. Chitwood, and Robert A. Jensen

- 1995 *Geologic Map of Newberry Volcano, Deschutes, Klamath, and Lake Counties, Oregon.* U. S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series I-2455, scale 1:62,500 and 1:24,000.
- Musil, Robert R. and Brian O'Neill
- 1997 Source and Distribution of Archaeological Obsidian in the Umpqua River Basin of Southwest Oregon. In *Contributions to the Archaeology of Oregon: 1995-1996*, edited by Albert Oetting, pp. 123–162. Association of Oregon Archaeologists Occasional Papers No. 6, Eugene, Oregon.
- Roulette, Bill R., Douglas C. Wilson, David V. Ellis, and Judy S. Chapman
- 1996 Northwest Pipeline Corporation System Expansion Phase I: Phase 3 Data Recovery and Site Treatment Reports for Oregon Segments, Volume V, Part 3: Willamette Valley. Archaeological Investigations Northwest Report 49 prepared for Northwest Pipeline Corporation, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Skinner, Craig E.

- 1983 Obsidian Studies in Oregon: An Introduction to Obsidian and An Investigation of Selected Methods of Obsidian Characterization Utilizing Obsidian Collected at Prehistoric Quarry Sites in Oregon. Unpublished Master's Terminal Project, Interdisciplinary Studies, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon.
- 1986 The Occurrence, Characterization, and Prehistoric Utilization of Geologic Sources of Obsidian in Central Western Oregon: Preliminary Research Results. Unpublished manuscript on file at the Oregon State Museum of Anthropology, Eugene, Oregon.
- 1995a Obsidian Characterization Studies. In Archaeological Investigations, PGT-PG&E Pipeline Expansion Project, Idaho, Washington, Oregon, and California, Volume V: Technical Studies, by Robert U. Bryson, Craig E. Skinner, and Richard M. Pettigrew, pp. 4.1–4.54. Report prepared for Pacific Gas Transmission Company, Portland, Oregon, by INFOTEC Research Inc., Fresno, California, and Far Western Anthropological Research Group, Davis, California.
- 1995b Obsidian Sources Identified During PEP Studies. In Archaeological Investigations, PGT-PG&E Pipeline Expansion Project, Idaho, Washington, Oregon, and California, Volume V: Technical Studies, by Robert U. Bryson, Craig E. Skinner, and Richard M. Pettigrew, pp. C5.1–C5.11. Report prepared for Pacific Gas Transmission Company, Portland, Oregon, by INFOTEC Research, Inc., Fresno, California, and Far Western Anthropological Research Group, Davis, California.
- 1997 *Geoarchaeological and Geochemical Investigations of the Devil Point Obsidian Source, Willamette National Forest, Western Cascades, Oregon.* Report BO-95-52 prepared for the Willamette National Forest, Eugene, Oregon, by Northwest Research Obsidian Studies Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon.
- 1999 Northwest Research Obsidian Studies World Wide Web Site (www.obsidianlab.com).
- Skinner, Craig E. and M. Kathleen Davis
- 1997a X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis of Artifact Obsidian from Sites in the Mt. Hood National Forest, Wasco and Hood River Counties, Oregon. Report 97-49 prepared for the Hood River Ranger District, Mt. Hood National Forest, Parkdale, Oregon, by Northwest Research Obsidian Studies Laboratory.
- 1997b X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis of Artifact Obsidian from Sites in the Mt. Hood National Forest, Oregon. Report 97-52 prepared for the Clackamas River Ranger District, Mt. Hood National Forest, Estacada, Oregon, by Northwest Research Obsidian Studies Laboratory.

Skinner, Craig E. and Jennifer J. Thatcher

1998 X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis of Artifact Obsidian from 25 Archaeological Sites Located Within the Hood River Ranger District, Mt. Hood National Forest, Oregon. Report 98-72 prepared for the Mt. Hood National Forest, Parkdale, Oregon, by Northwest Research Obsidian Studies Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon.

Skinner, Craig E. and Carol J. Winkler

- 1991 Prehistoric Trans-Cascade Procurement of Obsidian in Western Oregon: The Geochemical Evidence. *Current* Archaeological Happenings in Oregon 16(2):3–9.
- 1994 Prehistoric Trans-Cascade Procurement of Obsidian in Western Oregon: A Preliminary Look at the Geochemical Evidence. In *Contributions to the Archaeology of Oregon: 1989-1994*, edited by Paul Baxter, pp. 29–44. Association of Oregon Archaeologists Occasional Papers No. 5, Eugene, Oregon.

Taylor, Edward M.

1968 Roadside Geology: Santiam and McKenzie Pass Highways, Oregon. In *Andesite Conference Guidebook*, edited by H. Dole, pp. 3–33. Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Bulletin 62, Portland, Oregon.

Taylor, Edward M., N. S. MacLeod, D. R. Sherrod, and G. W. Walker

1987 *Geologic Map of the Three Sisters Wilderness, Deschutes, Lane, and Linn Counties, Oregon.* U. S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1952, scale 1:63,360.

White, John R.

- 1974 *Prehistoric Sites of the Upper Willamette Valley: A Proposed Typology.* Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Anthropology, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon.
- 1975 The Hurd Site. In *Archaeological Studies in the Willamette Valley, Oregon*, edited by C. M. Aikens, pp. 141–225. University of Oregon Anthropological Papers No. 8, Eugene, Oregon.

Williams, Howel

- 1935 Newberry Volcano of Central Oregon. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 46:253–304.
- 1944 Volcanoes of the Three Sisters Region, Oregon Cascades. University of California Publications in Geological Sciences, 27:37–84.

Woodward, John

1974 Salmon, Slaves, and Grizzly Bears: The Prehistoric Antecedents and Ethnohistory of Clackamas Indian Culture. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Anthropology, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon. Appendix

Results of X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis

Table 11-1. Results of ART Studies. Of She, Western Caseades, Marton County, Orego	Table A-1.	Results of XRF	Studies: Orr Site.	Western Cascades.	Marion County,	Oregon
--	------------	----------------	--------------------	-------------------	----------------	--------

	Specime	n					Trace	Elem	ent Co	ncent	rations	5			Rati	ios	
Site	No.	Catalog No.		Zn	Pb	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Ti	Mn	Ba	Fe ₂ O ₃ ^T	Fe:Mn	Fe:Ti	Artifact Source
Orr	4	ORR-1	±	35 7	13 3	84 3	146 7	19 3	132 7	9 2	636 96	269 47	920 13	0.94 0.11	38.0	48.9	Unknown 1
Orr	5	ORR-2	±	45 8	25 3	123 3	153 7	34 3	349 7	11 2	1559 97	228 47	730 13	1.52 0.11	72.4	31.7	Butte Creek
Orr	6	ORR-3	±	49 7	27 3	146 3	66 7	44 3	294 7	18 2	1039 97	293 47	886 15	1.59 0.11	55.7	49.2	Newberry Volcano
Orr	7	ORR-4	±	38 7	13 3	84 3	114 7	16 3	102 7	8 2	498 96	267 47	NM NM	0.93 0.11	37.7	61.0	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	8	ORR-5	±	29 7	11 2	78 3	112 7	15 3	101 7	10 2	664 96	295 47	NM NM	1.05 0.11	37.5	51.8	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	9	ORR-6	±	57 7	24 3	123 3	158 7	40 3	365 7	12 2	1707 97	252 47	709 14	1.55 0.11	65.2	29.5	Butte Creek
Orr	10	ORR-7	±	59 7	20 2	114 3	150 7	37 3	346 7	15 2	2439 98	342 47	719 13	2.39 0.11	68.7	31.2	Butte Creek
Orr	11	ORR-8	±	54 7	18 3	124 3	155 7	35 3	350 7	12 2	1926 98	272 47	724 14	1.85 0.11	70.3	30.9	Butte Creek
Orr	12	ORR-9	±	46 6	17 2	78 3	113 7	15 3	99 7	7 2	657 96	302 47	NM NM	1.01 0.11	35.4	50.6	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	13	ORR-10	±	58 7	27 2	118 3	152 7	38 3	349 7	16 2	1956 98	297 47	700 14	2.16 0.11	73.3	35.3	Butte Creek
Orr	14	ORR-11	±	48 7	23 2	113 3	143 7	35 3	342 7	13 2	2116 98	306 47	NM NM	2.27 0.11	74.3	34.2	Butte Creek
Orr	15	ORR-12	±	57 7	24 3	118 3	152 7	36 3	349 7	16 2	1998 98	248 47	NM NM	1.85 0.11	78.4	29.8	Butte Creek
Orr	16	ORR-13	±	59 7	20 3	120 3	154 7	38 3	348 7	18 2	1614 97	230 47	NM NM	1.60 0.11	74.8	32.0	Butte Creek
Orr	17	ORR-14	±	65 7	19 3	123 3	150 7	37 3	350 7	12 2	2038 98	294 47	902 14	2.06 0.11	71.1	32.4	Butte Creek
Orr	18	ORR-15	±	63 7	29 3	119 3	157 7	38 3	349 7	16 2	1984 98	292 47	NM NM	2.08 0.11	72.2	33.5	Butte Creek

All trace element values reported in parts per million; ± = analytical uncertainty estimate (in ppm). Iron content reported as weight percent oxide.

Table A-1. Results of XRF Studies: Orr Site, Western Cascades, Marion County, Oregon

	Specime	n					Trace	Elem	ent Co	oncent	rations				Rat	ios	
Site	No.	Catalog No.		Zn	Pb	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Ti	Mn	Ba l	Fe ₂ O ₃ ^T	Fe:Mn	Fe:Ti	Artifact Source
Orr	19	ORR-16	±	49 7	22 3	116 3	149 7	39 3	350 7	12 2	2266 98	309 47	NM NM	2.28 0.11	73.7	32.1	Butte Creek
Orr	20	ORR-17	±	57 7	21 3	114 3	151 7	38 3	343 7	12 2	1894 97	266 47	NM NM	1.84 0.11	71.8	31.2	Butte Creek
Orr	21	ORR-18	±	54 7	25 3	119 4	156 7	36 3	349 7	16 2	1207 96	189 47	NM NM	1.20 0.11	73.4	32.6	Butte Creek
Orr	22	ORR-19	±	60 7	25 3	105 3	143 7	33 3	336 7	14 2	1483 97	241 47	NM NM	1.62 0.11	71.6	35.3	Butte Creek
Orr	23	ORR-20	±	57 7	27 2	116 3	151 7	38 3	350 7	15 2	1996 98	298 47	NM NM	2.08 0.11	70.7	33.4	Butte Creek
Orr	24	ORR-21	±	57 7	25 3	115 3	152 7	38 3	345 7	14 2	1628 97	250 47	NM NM	1.71 0.11	71.9	33.8	Butte Creek
Orr	25	ORR-22	±	51 7	17 2	88 3	107 7	23 3	220 7	15 2	1122 97	283 47	NM NM	1.49 0.11	54.6	42.9	Devil Point
Orr	26	ORR-23	±	54 7	22 2	124 3	154 7	39 3	357 7	14 2	1952 98	278 47	849 14	2.07 0.11	75.9	33.9	Butte Creek
Orr	27	ORR-24	±	50 7	29 3	111 3	144 7	39 3	341 7	15 2	1403 97	217 47	886 14	1.45 0.11	73.4	33.6	Butte Creek
Orr	28	ORR-25	±	56 7	34 3	123 3	161 7	41 3	359 7	15 2	1748 97	251 47	910 14	1.83 0.11	76.7	33.8	Butte Creek
Orr	29	ORR-26	±	53 7	21 3	118 3	152 7	39 3	356 7	13 2	1610 97	230 47	913 14	1.62 0.11	75.6	32.5	Butte Creek
Orr	30	ORR-27	±	52 7	16 3	93 3	106 7	23 3	218 7	13 2	929 96	244 47	NM NM	1.34 0.11	58.9	46.6	Devil Point
Orr	31	ORR-28	±	67 7	26 3	108 3	150 7	38 3	344 7	15 2	1534 97	208 47	NM NM	1.40 0.11	74.8	29.7	Butte Creek
Orr	32	ORR-29	±	51 7	16 3	86 3	117 7	17 3	102 7	9 2	569 96	277 47	NM NM	0.84 0.11	32.9	48.9	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	33	ORR-30	±	68 7	28 3	121 3	149 7	39 3	349 7	13 2	1691 97	257 47	NM NM	1.76 0.11	71.4	33.5	Butte Creek

All trace element values reported in parts per million; ± = analytical uncertainty estimate (in ppm). Iron content reported as weight percent oxide.

Table A-1. Results of XRF Studies: Orr Site, Western Cascades, Marion County, Oregon

	Specime	n	Trace Element Concentrations									Rat	ios				
Site	No.	Catalog No.		Zn	Pb	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Ti	Mn	Ba l	Fe ₂ O ₃ ^T	Fe:Mn	Fe:Ti	Artifact Source
Orr	34	ORR-31	±	62 7	22 3	118 3	154 7	35 3	347 7	11 2	1606 97	225 47	NM NM	1.67 0.11	80.4	33.6	Butte Creek
Orr	35	ORR-32	±	58 7	27 3	123 3	153 7	38 3	355 7	11 2	1771 97	243 47	NM NM	1.81 0.11	78.8	32.8	Butte Creek
Orr	36	ORR-33	±	62 7	24 3	115 4	150 7	39 3	338 7	16 2	1244 96	185 47	NM NM	1.20 0.11	75.5	31.7	Butte Creek
Orr	37	ORR-34	±	65 7	24 3	104 3	139 7	35 3	320 7	10 2	1319 97	194 47	NM NM	1.33 0.11	78.3	33.0	Butte Creek
Orr	38	ORR-35	±	75 8	25 4	117 4	144 7	30 3	334 7	14 2	875 96	151 47	NM NM	0.91 0.11	77.3	34.8	Butte Creek
Orr	39	ORR-36	±	59 7	29 3	118 4	153 7	38 3	341 7	13 2	1104 96	184 47	NM NM	1.20 0.11	75.5	35.5	Butte Creek
Orr	40	ORR-37	±	55 7	15 3	83 3	118 7	17 3	99 7	5 2	668 96	283 47	NM NM	0.87 0.11	33.3	43.4	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	41	ORR-38	±	39 6	13 3	84 3	121 7	16 3	101 7	10 2	642 96	276 47	NM NM	0.90 0.11	35.2	46.4	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	42	ORR-39	±	42 6	9 3	83 3	114 7	16 3	102 7	7 2	692 96	275 47	NM NM	0.95 0.11	37.1	45.2	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	43	ORR-40	±	44 6	12 2	79 3	113 7	19 3	105 7	8 2	685 96	285 47	NM NM	1.00 0.11	37.5	48.1	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	44	ORR-41	±	39 6	15 2	80 3	110 7	15 3	103 7	7 2	745 96	283 47	NM NM	$\begin{array}{c} 1.01 \\ 0.11 \end{array}$	38.1	44.7	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	45	ORR-42	±	36 7	14 3	81 3	109 7	16 3	100 7	11 2	496 96	194 47	NM NM	0.62 0.11	39.5	43.1	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	46	ORR-43	±	30 7	15 3	84 3	107 7	17 3	101 7	9 2	607 96	317 47	NM NM	1.06 0.11	34.7	56.7	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	47	ORR-44	±	37 7	13 3	82 3	114 7	18 3	99 7	8 2	745 96	333 47	NM NM	0.92 0.11	28.9	41.0	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	48	ORR-45	±	40 6	13 3	80 3	107 7	16 3	93 7	5 2	517 96	234 47	NM NM	0.75 0.11	36.8	48.8	Obsidian Cliffs

All trace element values reported in parts per million; ± = analytical uncertainty estimate (in ppm). Iron content reported as weight percent oxide.

Table A-1. Results of XRF Studies: Orr Site, Western Cascades, Marion County, Oregon

	Specime	n	Trace Element Concentrations									Rat	ios				
Site	No.	Catalog No.		Zn	Pb	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Ti	Mn	Ba	Fe ₂ O ₃ ^T	Fe:Mn	Fe:Ti	Artifact Source
Orr	49	ORR-46	±	41 6	16 2	86 3	115 7	17 3	106 7	9 2	579 96	302 47	NM NM	0.99 0.11	34.7	56.2	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	50	ORR-47	±	50 7	12 3	81 3	113 7	16 3	102 7	8 2	586 96	223 47	NM NM	0.67 0.11	35.3	39.1	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	51	ORR-48	±	29 7	18 2	81 3	112 7	19 3	97 7	11 2	887 96	308 47	NM NM	1.05 0.11	35.7	38.9	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	52	ORR-49	±	38 6	13 2	80 3	110 7	18 3	100 7	10 2	485 96	268 47	NM NM	0.87 0.11	35.5	59.2	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	53	ORR-50	±	38 6	15 2	80 3	114 7	18 3	99 7	9 2	636 96	323 47	NM NM	0.98 0.11	31.8	50.9	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	54	ORR-51	±	37 7	11 3	78 3	109 7	18 3	98 7	7 2	579 96	237 47	NM NM	0.83 0.11	39.6	47.8	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	55	ORR-52	±	40 6	14 2	81 3	112 7	17 3	97 7	11 2	563 96	280 47	NM NM	0.94 0.11	35.9	54.7	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	56	ORR-53	±	44 7	16 3	85 3	110 7	16 3	102 7	11 2	403 95	194 47	NM NM	0.61 0.11	38.7	51.7	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	57	ORR-54	±	54 6	15 3	99 3	109 7	25 3	224 7	13 2	1013 97	282 47	NM NM	1.48 0.11	54.5	47.1	Devil Point
Orr	58	ORR-55	±	34 6	10 3	82 3	116 7	16 3	99 7	12 2	576 96	284 47	NM NM	0.96 0.11	36.1	54.7	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	59	ORR-56	±	39 7	18 3	81 3	115 7	18 3	101 7	9 2	460 96	253 47	NM NM	0.81 0.11	35.5	58.0	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	60	ORR-57	±	55 7	26 2	118 3	146 7	38 3	340 7	14 2	2108 98	289 47	NM NM	2.20 0.11	77.2	33.3	Butte Creek
Orr	61	ORR-58	±	39 7	11 3	90 3	117 7	14 3	100 7	9 2	493 96	248 47	NM NM	0.80 0.11	36.1	53.9	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	62	ORR-59	±	50 7	14 3	80 3	110 7	16 3	102 7	11 2	488 96	239 47	NM NM	0.63 0.11	30.7	44.3	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	63	ORR-60	±	45 7	13 3	83 3	117 7	19 3	107 7	7 2	394 95	203 47	NM NM	0.63 0.11	37.4	53.9	Obsidian Cliffs

All trace element values reported in parts per million; ± = analytical uncertainty estimate (in ppm). Iron content reported as weight percent oxide.

Table A-1. Results of XRF Studies: Orr Site, Western Cascades, Marion County, Oregon

	Specime	n	Trace Element Concentrations Ratios								ios						
Site	No.	Catalog No.		Zn	Pb	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Ti	Mn	Ba	Fe ₂ O ₃ ^T	Fe:Mn	Fe:Ti	Artifact Source
Orr	64	ORR-61	±	36 7	16 3	76 3	107 7	17 3	99 7	11 2	627 96	250 47	NM NM	0.90 0.11	39.6	47.4	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	65	ORR-62	±	62 7	28 3	116 3	152 7	36 3	351 7	14 2	1916 98	274 47	NM NM	2.06 0.11	77.0	34.4	Butte Creek
Orr	66	ORR-63	±	29 7	11 3	90 3	116 7	16 3	101 7	11 2	451 96	237 47	NM NM	0.73 0.11	35.0	53.9	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	67	ORR-64	±	48 7	26 3	114 3	147 7	37 3	342 7	13 2	1802 97	267 47	NM NM	1.94 0.11	75.2	34.5	Butte Creek
Orr	68	ORR-65	±	53 7	19 3	110 3	143 7	32 3	328 7	16 2	1701 97	264 47	NM NM	1.76 0.11	69.3	33.3	Butte Creek
Orr	69	ORR-66	±	37 7	11 3	80 3	110 7	19 3	98 7	13 2	502 96	244 47	NM NM	0.79 0.11	36.2	52.2	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	70	ORR-67	±	66 7	25 3	132 4	166 7	39 3	366 7	14 2	1480 97	213 47	NM NM	1.43 0.11	73.9	31.4	Butte Creek
Orr	71	ORR-68	±	33 7	13 2	81 3	106 7	16 3	100 7	10 2	476 96	274 47	NM NM	0.87 0.11	34.6	60.1	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	72	ORR-69	±	58 7	13 3	90 3	111 7	21 3	222 7	15 2	855 96	247 47	NM NM	1.30 0.11	56.5	49.2	Devil Point
Orr	73	ORR-70	±	44 6	18 2	82 3	109 7	15 3	99 7	9 2	690 96	277 47	NM NM	0.94 0.11	36.6	45.2	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	74	ORR-71	±	43 7	23 3	115 3	152 7	40 3	353 7	18 2	1509 97	239 47	NM NM	1.55 0.11	69.5	33.3	Butte Creek
Orr	75	ORR-72	±	45 7	10 3	86 3	112 7	13 3	98 7	7 2	497 96	240 47	NM NM	0.81 0.11	37.8	53.9	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	76	ORR-73	±	47 7	13 3	81 3	113 7	16 3	106 7	11 2	519 96	251 47	NM NM	0.84 0.11	37.4	53.9	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	77	ORR-74	±	52 7	18 3	93 3	128 7	15 3	103 7	6 2	498 96	206 47	NM NM	0.64 0.11	37.2	43.7	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	78	ORR-75	±	47 7	14 3	86 3	115 7	13 3	99 7	11 2	406 95	212 47	NM NM	0.67 0.11	37.6	55.7	Obsidian Cliffs

All trace element values reported in parts per million; ± = analytical uncertainty estimate (in ppm). Iron content reported as weight percent oxide.

Table A-1. Results of XRF Studies: Orr Site, Western Cascades, Marion County, Oregon

	Specime	n		Trace Element Concentrations							Rat	ios					
Site	No.	Catalog No.		Zn	Pb	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Ti	Mn	Ba	Fe ₂ O ₃ ^T	Fe:Mn	Fe:Ti	Artifact Source
Orr	79	ORR-76	±	50 7	16 3	88 3	123 7	19 3	109 7	10 2	433 96	253 47	NM NM	0.80 0.11	35.0	60.8	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	80	ORR-77	±	42 7	20 3	111 3	145 7	33 3	340 7	14 2	1520 97	240 47	NM NM	1.60 0.11	70.8	33.9	Butte Creek
Orr	81	ORR-78	±	42 7	11 3	80 3	114 7	17 3	101 7	8 2	405 96	241 47	NM NM	0.79 0.11	36.7	63.9	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	82	ORR-79	±	35 7	14 3	92 3	126 7	19 3	108 7	8 2	427 96	227 47	NM NM	0.75 0.11	37.9	58.5	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	83	ORR-80	±	62 7	29 3	114 3	152 7	35 3	349 7	14 2	1296 96	189 47	NM NM	1.30 0.11	78.6	32.7	Butte Creek
Orr	84	ORR-81	±	43 7	14 3	91 3	118 7	18 3	108 7	9 2	413 96	226 47	NM NM	0.73 0.11	37.1	58.7	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	85	ORR-82	±	55 7	21 3	96 3	126 7	23 3	85 7	9 2	140 95	289 47	NM NM	0.76 0.11	28.8	166.2	Inman Creek B
Orr	86	ORR-83	±	46 7	19 3	139 4	100 7	28 3	137 7	10 2	505 96	171 47	885 16	0.60 0.11	45.1	41.1	Unknown 2
Orr	87	ORR-84	±	45 7	20 3	92 3	121 7	17 3	105 7	8 2	444 96	288 47	NM NM	0.87 0.11	32.6	64.4	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	88	ORR-85	±	33 7	14 3	78 3	108 7	17 3	101 7	9 2	339 95	206 47	NM NM	0.65 0.11	38.0	64.4	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	89	ORR-86	±	31 7	16 3	81 3	112 7	16 3	103 7	6 2	390 95	193 47	NM NM	0.54 0.11	35.1	47.9	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	90	ORR-87	±	46 7	12 3	92 3	116 7	17 3	99 7	13 2	360 95	211 47	NM NM	0.60 0.11	34.0	56.1	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	91	ORR-88	±	38 8	26 3	112 3	137 7	39 3	330 7	15 2	1771 97	251 47	NM NM	1.78 0.11	74.6	32.4	Butte Creek
Orr	92	ORR-89	±	40 7	17 3	80 3	114 7	17 3	98 7	11 2	430 96	234 47	NM NM	0.68 0.11	33.4	53.0	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	93	ORR-90	±	40 8	18 3	70 3	98 7	17 3	87 7	11 2	292 95	159 47	NM NM	0.40 0.11	35.0	48.6	Obsidian Cliffs

All trace element values reported in parts per million; ± = analytical uncertainty estimate (in ppm). Iron content reported as weight percent oxide.

Table A-1. Results of XRF Studies: Orr Site, Western Cascades, Marion County, Oregon

	Specime	n	Trace Element Concentrations R							Rat	ios						
Site	No.	Catalog No.		Zn	Pb	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Ti	Mn	Ba	Fe ₂ O ₃ ^T	Fe:Mn	Fe:Ti	Artifact Source
Orr	94	ORR-91	±	29 8	13 3	89 3	119 7	13 3	103 7	14 2	379 95	212 47	NM NM	0.59 0.11	33.3	52.6	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	95	ORR-92	±	43 7	12 3	85 3	115 7	16 3	99 7	12 2	337 95	206 47	NM NM	0.60 0.11	35.3	60.2	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	96	ORR-93	±	38 7	15 3	87 3	116 7	17 3	103 7	8 2	483 96	244 47	NM NM	0.79 0.11	36.5	54.4	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	97	ORR-94	±	44 7	11 3	80 3	114 7	18 3	101 7	8 2	492 96	222 47	NM NM	0.74 0.11	38.5	50.4	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	98	ORR-95	±	36 7	15 3	84 3	115 7	15 3	102 7	11 2	413 96	239 47	NM NM	0.72 0.11	34.4	58.0	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	99	ORR-96	±	69 7	23 3	113 3	150 7	41 3	347 7	16 2	1768 97	266 47	NM NM	1.83 0.11	71.2	33.2	Butte Creek
Orr	100	ORR-97	±	48 7	10 3	77 3	106 7	18 3	98 7	7 2	451 96	227 47	NM NM	0.72 0.11	36.5	53.3	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	101	ORR-98	±	36 7	16 3	79 3	112 7	18 3	98 7	8 2	335 95	210 47	NM NM	0.60 0.11	34.4	60.3	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	102	ORR-99	±	60 7	29 3	124 3	163 7	38 3	365 7	16 2	1750 97	262 47	NM NM	1.78 0.11	70.8	32.8	Butte Creek
Orr	103	ORR-100	±	64 7	24 3	122 3	158 7	36 3	362 7	14 2	1655 97	234 47	NM NM	1.65 0.11	75.4	32.2	Butte Creek
Orr	104	ORR-101	±	49 7	14 3	78 3	111 7	16 3	98 7	10 2	478 96	217 47	NM NM	0.68 0.11	36.9	48.2	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	105	ORR-102	±	35 7	15 3	90 3	116 7	20 3	102 7	11 2	579 96	214 47	NM NM	0.61 0.11	34.1	36.4	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	106	ORR-103	±	31 7	15 3	83 3	116 7	16 3	105 7	8 2	600 96	261 47	NM NM	0.94 0.11	39.1	51.5	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	107	ORR-104	±	48 7	14 3	80 3	103 7	15 3	96 7	9 2	399 95	208 47	NM NM	0.62 0.11	35.8	52.5	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	108	ORR-105	±	57 7	27 3	121 3	150 7	39 3	348 7	13 2	1674 97	263 47	NM NM	1.76 0.11	69.7	33.9	Butte Creek

All trace element values reported in parts per million; ± = analytical uncertainty estimate (in ppm). Iron content reported as weight percent oxide.

Table A-1. Results of XRF Studies: Orr Site, Western Cascades, Marion County, Oregon

	Specimen			Trace Element Concentrations											Rati	ios	
Site	No.	Catalog No.		Zn	Pb	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Ti	Mn	Ba	Fe ₂ O ₃ ^T	Fe:Mn	Fe:Ti	Artifact Source
Orr	109	ORR-106	±	38 8	7 4	82 3	114 7	11 3	101 7	10 2	267 95	168 47	NM NM	0.44 0.11	35.6	58.0	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	110	ORR-107	±	38 7	13 3	85 3	135 7	18 3	114 7	13 2	639 96	263 47	NM NM	0.85 0.11	35.3	44.1	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	111	ORR-108	±	63 7	27 3	125 4	160 7	43 3	363 7	14 2	1550 97	225 47	NM NM	1.56 0.11	75.2	32.7	Butte Creek
Orr	112	ORR-109	±	46 7	15 3	88 3	117 7	18 3	108 7	6 2	512 96	259 47	NM NM	0.84 0.11	36.0	54.5	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	113	ORR-110	±	55 7	15 3	98 3	124 7	19 3	105 7	12 2	466 96	255 47	NM NM	0.80 0.11	35.0	57.1	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	114	ORR-111	±	35 7	16 3	83 3	117 7	18 3	105 7	12 2	393 95	210 47	NM NM	0.66 0.11	37.5	56.5	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	115	ORR-112	±	32 7	15 3	82 3	110 7	16 3	101 7	10 2	363 95	213 47	NM NM	0.62 0.11	34.7	57.7	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	116	ORR-113	±	47 7	16 3	89 3	116 7	18 3	105 7	7 2	391 96	228 47	NM NM	0.69 0.11	34.9	58.8	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	117	ORR-114	±	43 7	13 3	88 3	121 7	18 3	108 7	8 2	375 95	211 47	NM NM	0.63 0.11	35.5	56.5	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	118	ORR-115	±	50 7	15 3	93 3	123 7	16 3	105 7	9 2	468 96	232 47	NM NM	0.72 0.11	35.6	51.7	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	119	ORR-116	±	76 8	23 3	145 4	83 7	47 3	168 7	32 2	276 95	209 47	NM NM	0.83 0.11	45.7	95.9	Clackamas River
Orr	120	ORR-117	±	50 7	20 3	118 3	148 7	34 3	344 7	15 2	1530 97	233 47	NM NM	1.59 0.11	72.9	33.5	Butte Creek
Orr	121	ORR-118	±	43 8	18 3	89 3	115 7	18 3	102 7	8 2	452 96	224 47	NM NM	0.69 0.11	36.0	51.4	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	122	ORR-119	±	40 7	14 3	77 3	108 7	13 3	97 7	13 2	395 95	192 47	NM NM	0.59 0.11	38.1	51.0	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	123	ORR-120	±	54 8	22 3	111 4	145 7	38 3	330 7	7 2	1091 96	180 47	NM NM	1.05 0.11	69.4	32.0	Butte Creek

All trace element values reported in parts per million; ± = analytical uncertainty estimate (in ppm). Iron content reported as weight percent oxide.

Table A-1. Results of XRF Studies: Orr Site, Western Cascades, Marion County, Oregon

	Specimen			Trace Element Concentrations											Rat	ios	
Site	No.	Catalog No.		Zn	Pb	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Ti	Mn	Ba	Fe ₂ O ₃ ^T	Fe:Mn	Fe:Ti	Artifact Source
Orr	124	ORR-121	±	43 7	22 3	86 3	117 7	14 3	95 7	8 2	386 95	179 47	NM NM	0.52 0.11	37.3	46.5	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	125	ORR-122	±	39 7	15 3	92 3	121 7	17 3	104 7	8 2	378 95	204 47	NM NM	0.58 0.11	34.9	52.7	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	126	ORR-123	±	52 7	15 3	85 3	119 7	15 3	99 7	9 2	505 96	213 47	NM NM	0.61 0.11	34.4	41.5	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	127	ORR-124	±	49 7	11 3	88 3	115 7	17 3	104 7	10 2	511 96	207 47	NM NM	0.62 0.11	36.1	41.8	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	128	ORR-125	±	59 7	20 3	87 3	119 7	21 3	102 7	5 2	385 95	199 47	NM NM	0.58 0.11	35.8	51.5	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	129	ORR-126	±	45 7	11 3	85 3	118 7	17 3	99 7	10 2	411 95	200 47	NM NM	0.54 0.11	33.5	45.7	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	130	ORR-127	±	67 7	15 3	114 4	153 7	36 3	345 7	13 2	1291 96	193 47	NM NM	1.22 0.11	72.7	31.0	Butte Creek
Orr	131	ORR-128	±	42 7	16 3	81 3	110 7	16 3	100 7	12 2	323 95	182 47	NM NM	0.53 0.11	37.2	56.4	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	132	ORR-129	±	45 7	13 3	84 3	118 7	15 3	98 7	11 2	332 95	185 47	NM NM	0.50 0.11	34.3	51.7	Obsidian Cliffs
Orr	133	ORR-130	±	70 8	27 4	124 4	159 7	35 3	352 7	12 2	1084 96	176 47	NM NM	1.08 0.11	72.7	32.8	Butte Creek
Orr	134	ORR-131	±	41 9	27 3	134 4	171 7	37 3	361 7	16 2	1189 96	183 47	NM NM	1.23 0.11	78.0	33.8	Butte Creek
Orr	135	ORR-132	±	55 9	28 4	124 4	160 7	39 3	352 7	14 2	954 96	155 47	NM NM	0.86 0.11	70.7	30.2	Butte Creek
NA	RGM-1	RGM-1	±	35 6	23 2	150 3	104 7	25 3	215 7	13 1	1558 97	270 47	772 13	1.79 0.11	68.8	37.0	RGM-1 Reference Standard

All trace element values reported in parts per million; ± = analytical uncertainty estimate (in ppm). Iron content reported as weight percent oxide.